

Disadvantaged Pupils Strategy 2018-2019

SLT Lead: Mrs C Pickles

PP Champion: Ms V Trainor

Disadvantaged Student Governor: Mrs L Maguire



Contents

- Page 3; summary information (including current attainment and progress) for disadvantaged students
- Page 4; barriers to future attainment including in school/external barriers and desired outcomes for the academic year
- Page 5-7; review of expenditure from previous academic year
- Page 8; additional detail
- Page 9-12; planned expenditure for coming academic year



Pupil Premium strategy / self- evaluation (secondary)

1. Summary information						
School	St James' Catholic High School					
Academic Year	2017- 2018	Total PP budget	£120,615	Date of most recent PP Review	2015	
Total number of pupils	790	Number of pupils eligible for Disadvantaged funding	144	Date for next internal review of this strategy	July 2019	

2. Current attainment and achievement					
	Disadvantaged at SJCHS 2018	Non disadvantaged at SJCHS (national average) 2018			
Progress 8 score average	-0.53	+0.11 (-0.02)			
Attainment 8 score average	44.15	44.26			
% achieving 5+ in EM	33.33%	40%			



3. Ba	3. Barriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)						
Acaden	nic barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor literacy skills)						
A.	Poor literacy skills of disadvantaged pupils						
В.	Poor numeracy skills of disadvantaged pupils						
C.	Low prior attainment of disadvantaged pupils						
Additio	onal barriers (including issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)						
D.	Poor attendance rate of disadvantaged pupils						
4. In	tended outcomes (specific outcomes and how they will be measured)	Success criteria					
A.	To improve the progress of disadvantaged pupils and close the gap between them and their non-disadvantaged peers	Improved P8 figures for disadvantaged pupils. This will be tracked at each termly data point and also be a focus of Faculty and Line Management meetings.					
В.	To maximise student progress through more effective links between academic and pastoral provision	Improved P8 figures for disadvantaged pupils. This will be tracked at each termly data point and also be a focus of Faculty and Line Management meetings.					
C.	To improve the attendance of disadvantaged learners	Improved attendance figures for disadvantaged pupils to be in line with expected school standard of 96%.					
D.	Increase parental engagement with school life and further strengthen the home-school partnership	Greater parent/carer engagement with disadvantaged pupils' parents/carers given individual invitations to attend parents evening or attend alternative meeting. This will be reviewed after each parents evening.					
E.	To provide disadvantaged students with the opportunity to attend homework club and develop study skills	Pupil engagement with provision and decrease in homework behaviour logs for disadvantaged pupils. This will be tracked half termly.					



Previous Academic \	/ear			
i. Quality of teach	hing for all			
Action	Intended outcome	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success	Lessons learned	Cost
		criteria? (Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate).	(and whether you will continue with this approach)	
To raise the	Quality First Teaching	Attainment 8 44.4	Target remains part of the two year SIP target	£87,000
achievement of		Progress 8 -0.53		staffing costs
disadvantaged	Targeted intervention	Grade 4 + in Eng and Maths 57.1%	Students have benefited from timetabling of maths and	
students		Grade 5 + in Eng and Maths 33.0%	English lessons	£3000
	Engagement with	Grade 4 + in Maths 69.0%		Intervention
To close the gap in	parents/carers	Grade 5+ in Maths 40.5%	Blocks of intervention are more effective will continue	
orogress between				£1,600
disadvantaged and	Study days	Grade 4 + in English 76.2%	Continue with Year 7 and 10 Disadvantaged interviews and	Study Days
non-disadvantaged		Grade 5+ in English 59.5%	add Year 8 and 9 interviews so as to impact on all pupils	
within school	Trips subsidies		and ensure follow up action where required	£600
				Meetings with
In 2017/2018 to	Stationery and		Bespoke study days adapted for the needs of St James'	parents
mprove	resources		pupils and with a focus on literacy have a greater impact	
attainment of			than those of outside providers	£5,000
disadvantaged				Trips subsidies
Students			Continue to provide resources for food technology in order	
sufficiently so the			to encourage life skills and healthy eating	£1000
school is				Stationery
nominated for the			Continue to develop other outreach methods with some	



relevant pupil premium award			families Continue with rigorous analysis of data and more timely interventions by further developing line management	
			agendas	
ii. Targeted support				
Action	Intended outcome	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? (Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate).	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
To maximise student progress of	Data is analysed and suitable intervention	Attainment 8 44.4 Progress 8 -0.53	All staff to make Disadvantaged students a priority	Staffing and intervention as
Disadvantaged	put in place quickly	Grade 4 + in Eng and Maths 57.1%	KS4 English and maths students to be identified if they	above
students through	,	Grade 5 + in Eng and Maths 33.0%	require intervention and to receive intervention	£5100
more effective	English tutor and	Grade 4 + in Maths 69.0%		
links between	Maths TA provide 1	Grade 5+ in Maths 40.5%	HoF and Second in Faculty to quickly intervene with	
teaching, progress and pastoral	to 1 support	Grade 4 + in English 76.2% Grade 5+ in English 59.5%	individual students	
provision and so	Year 11 gained time		Greater focus needed on KS3 maths teaching and	
close gaps	was used for intervention.	More PP students have opportunity to pursue musical pathway and widen their education	intervention	
All Year 7 and 8			Use Maths TA to maximise progress with intensive	
pupils are able to	Students are offered	Some students will take practical music	programmes of intervention for targeted pupils	
have free music	free music lessons	examinations		
lessons in order to widen their education and			English HoF and second in faculty plus part-time member of staff to provide timely intervention	



develop self esteem			Continue with music provision to allow students to continue to progress in this wider curriculum/cultural opportunity	
iii. Other approache	es	<u> </u>		
Action	Intended outcome	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? (Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate).	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
Improve metacognition and independent study skills	Study days	Students are more able to study independently	Follow up sessions are required in assembly as well as individual meetings with Disadvantaged students St James' staff now run these sessions rather than outside companies in order to be specific to our requirements	£1600
To assist students with Revision	Revision plans	Students are able to have guided revision in order to support those needing a framework	Students need to further develop a Growth Mindset and this needs to be embedded across the school Revision plans have worked effectively over the past three years and the action will be maintained	£1500 Additional Photocopying
			Particular students will require additional support in order to check their use of revision plans Staff to incorporate the Plans into lessons prior to GCSEs	



6. Additional detail

	2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15	2013/14
Disadvantaged					
Cohort	136**	153**	138	134	128
Attendance	90.3%	92.3%	91.9%	92.6%	93%
Persistent Absence	28.7%*	21.6%	23.9%*	8.6%	6.2%
Non – Disadvantaged					
Cohort	674**	671**	660	668	679
Attendance	94.7%	96.2%	95.6%	96.1%	96.6%
Persistent Absence	9.6%	5.4%*	6.4%*	2.0%	1.3%

^{*2015/16} persistent absence changed to become >10% absence

^{**} ever in cohort throughout academic year



1. Planned expenditure

Academic year £113,135

The three headings enable you to demonstrate how you are using the Pupil Premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies.

i. Quality of teaching for all

Action	Intended outcome	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
To raise the achievement	All teachers are aware of	Quality first teaching gives most impact	Lesson observations	Deputy	Ongoing /July 2019
of Disadvantaged	Disadvantaged students	for progress		Headteacher	
students			Line management meetings		
	Student books are marked	Whole school approach ensures		AHT T and L	
	first	consistency	Data checks		
To close the gap in				PP Champion	
progress between	Progress meetings regularly		Quality assurance calendar		
disadvantaged and non-	focus on Disadvantaged			SLT Member	
disadvantaged learners	students with faculties			responsible for	
compared with national	providing action plans			Outcomes	
data					
	Faculty line meetings and				
	data analysis focus on				
	Disadvantaged				
	Rigorous approach for all				
	faculties with particular				
	focus on English and Maths				



Continued improved	Quality assurance, lesson	Quality first teaching is key to	Lesson observations	Deputy	Ongoing / July 2019	
teaching and learning to	observations, seating plans,	Disadvantaged progress		Headteacher		
close the gap	teacher planning and work		Line management meetings			
	scrutiny focus on			AHT T and L		
	disadvantaged		Data checks and tracking			
				SLT Member		
	Research group to identify			responsible for		
	and disseminate techniques			Outcomes		
	which enable improved					
	performance of boys					
	Mentoring of disadvantaged					
	pupils to support and					
	encourage them to focus					
	their studies and improve					
	attendance					
Total budgeted cost	Staffing £87,000					
Starring £87,000						

ii. Targeted support

Action	Intended outcome	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
To raise the achievement	Quality First teaching	Sutton Trust + 5 months impact	Data checks	Deputy Head	Data checks
of disadvantaged student	English and Maths			teacher	
	intervention for identified	Sutton Trust + 8 months	Heads of faculty to be accountable		Data checks
To close the gap in	pupils		for progress of PP	AHT T & L	
progress between					



disadvantaged and non- disadvantaged within school	Improve meta-cognition and Growth Mindset		Line meetings will have PP as standing agenda item	SLT Member responsible for Outcomes	
In 2018/2019 to improve attainment of	Specific literacy study sessions		Data checks Interviews with students	Deputy Headteacher	
disadvantaged Students Study Days				AHT Teaching and Learning	
				PP Champion	
				SLT Member responsible for Outcomes	
Total budgeted cost	1				£26,000 (Tutor and partial maths TA, part English teacher (RM))
iii. Other approaches					
Action	Intended outcome	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
To raise the attendance of disadvantaged students	Targeted intervention by attendance officer and pastoral team	Families require help and support in order to improve attendance Students' progress will improve if they	Attendance meetings with pastoral team and outside agencies Data checks	AHT Pastoral Attendance officer	Fortnightly meetings with half termly reports



	Rewards and incentives Meetings with parents /carers	attend school more regularly	Meetings with parents/carers regarding attendance		
Homework assistance	Provision of laptops Homework club Interviews	In order to support families laptops provided to help with homework in order to improve progress Students attend homework club in order to access resources and also to improve self-study skills	Data checks of homework logs Students may require additional support	PP Champion	Every half term
Total budgeted cost					See above staffing costs. £8,000